



ACIF G613.1:2004

**AUSTRALIAN COMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY FORUM
INDUSTRY GUIDELINE**

**LOCAL NUMBER PORTABILITY
IT TEST PLAN
PART 1**

Industry Guideline – *Local Number Portability IT Test Plan Part 1*

First published as ACIF G613.1:2004

ISBN: 1 74000 278 4

©Copyright Australian Communications Industry Forum
PO Box 444, Milsons Point NSW 1565

Disclaimers

1. Notwithstanding anything contained in this Industry Guideline:
 - (a) ACIF disclaims responsibility (including where ACIF or any of its officers, employees, agents or contractors has been negligent) for any direct or indirect loss, damage, claim, or liability any person may incur as a result of any:
 - (i) reliance on or compliance with this Industry Guideline;
 - (ii) inaccuracy or inappropriateness of this Industry Guideline; or
 - (iii) inconsistency of this Industry Guideline with any law; and
 - (b) ACIF disclaims responsibility (including where ACIF or any of its officers, employees, agents or contractors has been negligent) for ensuring compliance by any person with this Industry Guideline.
2. The above disclaimers will not apply to the extent they are inconsistent with any relevant legislation.

Copyright

© Australian Communications Industry Forum Limited 2004

This document is copyright and must not be used except as permitted below or under the Copyright Act 1968. You may reproduce and publish this document in whole or in part for your or your organisation's own personal or internal compliance, educational or non-commercial purposes. You must not alter or amend this document in any way. You must not reproduce or publish this document for commercial gain without the prior written consent of ACIF. Organisations wishing to reproduce or publish this document for commercial gain (i.e. for distribution to subscribers to an information service) may apply to subscribe to the ACIF Publications Subscription Service by contacting the ACIF Business Manager at acif@acif.org.au. If you publish any part of this document for any purpose, you must also publish this copyright notice as part of that publication.

PARTICIPANTS

The Working Committee that developed this Industry Guideline consisted of the following organisations and their representatives:

Organisation	Membership	Representative
AAPT	Non-voting	Skye Fuller
AAPT	Voting	Lisa Grady
AAPT	Non-voting	Lee-Anne Sutton
ACA	Non-voting	Simon Flanagan
ACA	Non-voting	Michael Elsegood
ACCC	Non-voting	Grant Young
ATUG	Voting	John Pack
Comindico	Non-voting	Maree Mayo
CTN	Voting	Teresa Corbin
CTN	Non-voting	Philippa Mansor
Hutchison Telecoms	Voting	Brian Currie
Hutchison Telecoms	Non-voting	Alexander R. Osborne
Optus	Non-voting	Melina Rohan
Optus	Non-voting	Xanthe Corbett-Jones
Optus	Non-voting	Margueritta Wong
Optus	Voting	Michelle Ford
PowerTel	Voting	Paul Teng
PowerTel	Non-voting	Russell Symons
Paradigm.One	Non-voting	Devendra Gupta
Primus	Non-voting	Martin Vella
RSL Com	Non-voting	John Green
Telstra	Voting	Ian Somerville
Telstra	Non-voting	Terry Dyer
Telstra	Non-voting	Greg Craig
TransACT	Non-voting	Uday Lad

This Working Committee was chaired by Alexander R. Osborne. Terry Andersen of ACIF provided project management support.

**INDUSTRY
GUIDELINE**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
2	SCOPE, OBJECTIVES AND DELIVERABLES	3
	2.1 Scope	3
	2.2 Objectives	3
	2.3 Deliverables	3
3	DEFINITIONS	5
	3.1 Definitions	5
4	REFERENCES	9
5	TEST OBJECTIVES	11
	5.1 IT Link	11
	5.2 Application Layer	11
6	TEST SCOPE	13
7	DELIVERABLES	15
	7.1 Test Scenarios and Test Cases	15
	7.2 Test Execution Schedule	15
	7.3 Test Results	15
	7.4 Test Status Report	15
	7.5 Test Summary Report	15
8	TEST STRATEGY	17
	8.1 Overall Approach	17
	8.2 Test Focus	17
	8.3 Regression Strategy	17
	8.4 Entry Criteria	17
	8.5 Exit Criteria	18
9	TEST ENVIRONMENT	19
10	APPLICATION LAYER TESTING	21
11	TEST MANAGEMENT	23
	11.1 Roles and Responsibilities	23
	11.2 Task Management	23
	11.3 Test Preparation	23

INDUSTRY GUIDELINE

11.4 Test Cases	23
11.5 Test Data	23
11.6 Test Data Value Sets	23
11.7 Test Execution Schedule	24
11.8 Test Execution	24
11.9 Test Results	24
11.10 Problem Management	25
11.11 Escalation Hierarchy	26
11.12 Reporting Requirements	26
<hr/>	
12 ACCEPTANCE	29
<hr/>	
APPENDIX A	31
INCIDENT REPORT TEMPLATE	31
<hr/>	
APPENDIX B	33
TEST STATUS REPORT TEMPLATE	33
<hr/>	
APPENDIX C	35
SAMPLE INCIDENT REPORTING LOG	35
<hr/>	
APPENDIX D	37
RESPONSE CODES FOR LNP APPLICATION LAYER TESTING	37
<hr/>	
APPENDIX E	43
TEST SCENARIOS	43
<hr/>	
APPENDIX F	45
SAMPLE TEST SUMMARY REPORT CONTENTS	45
<hr/>	

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

- 1.1.1 The purpose of this document is to provide the basis of the framework for testing new LNP functionality and new Participants to LNP. This applies to all C/CSPs participating in LNP.
- 1.1.2 The objective of this document is to specify a standard level of LNP IT Testing to be carried out between Participants. The document will make clear what is expected from each Participant and what will be considered successful LNP IT Testing.
- 1.1.3 This document should be read in conjunction with the ACIF G603:2004 *Local Number Portability IT Test Strategy* Industry Guideline. Where there is a discrepancy between the ACIF G603:2004 *Local Number Portability IT Test Strategy* Industry Guideline and the ACIF G613:2004 *Local Number Portability IT Test Plan Parts 1 to 5* Industry Guideline, the Test Plan will take precedence.

**INDUSTRY
GUIDELINE**

2 SCOPE, OBJECTIVES AND DELIVERABLES

2.1 Scope

2.1.1 The scope of the LNP IT Test Plan is to document the test components required for all Participants to successfully complete LNP IT Testing. LNP IT Testing will be conducted in two phases:

- (a) IT Link Testing; and
- (b) Application Layer Testing.

2.1.2 This document also contains a test execution schedule which can be used as a guideline for bilateral LNP IT Testing between Participants.

2.2 Objectives

2.2.1 The objective of IT Link Testing is to ensure:

- (a) the connectivity of the two Participants up to and including that the firewall configuration is operational; and
- (b) the IT Link is ready to support Application Layer Testing.

2.2.2 The objective of Application Layer Testing is to ensure:

- (a) transactions are passed correctly between the Participants;
- (b) format and content is correct;
- (c) transactions are sent and processed in the correct sequence;
- (d) transactions are correctly accepted or rejected; and
- (e) the PLNR is correctly updated.

2.3 Deliverables

The following have been identified as deliverables of the LNP Test Co-ordinators:

1. Documentation

- Determine Test Modules
- Determine Test Execution Schedule
- Determine Test Conditions

2. Preparation

- Preparation of the Bilateral Agreement which includes the Intercarrier Interface Test Plan
- Preparation of Test Cases
- Preparation of Test Data

3. Agreement

- Documentation above is complete and signed off
- Bilateral Agreement is complete and signed off
- Test Cases are complete & signed off
- Test Data is complete and signed off

- Agreed Timetable for LNP IT Testing
- 4. Test Execution**
- Conduct tests as agreed
 - Daily Test Status Report
 - Weekly Test Summary Report
 - Test Summary Report (final)
- 5. Test Exit Criteria**
- Both Participants agree that all test cycles and test cases have been successfully executed and agree to proceed into production.

3 DEFINITIONS

3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of the Guideline, the following definitions apply:

*NOTE: Should a difference occur between definitions listed in the ACIF C540:2003 **Local Number Portability Industry Code** and this document, the Code will take precedence. If terms are not defined in this document, the definitions as per the Code will apply.*

Act

means *Telecommunications Act 1997*.

Actual Results

means results generated as a product of executed tests.

Application Layer Testing

means the testing performed between two Participants to determine correct operation of the interfaces and passing of correct data.

Bilateral Agreement

means any agreement between two parties.

Business Day

has the same meaning as in the Code.

Carriage Service Provider

has the meaning given by section 87 of the *Act*.

Carrier

has the meaning given by section 7 of the *Act*.

C/CSP

has the same meaning as in the Code.

Customer Authorisation

has the same meaning as in the Code.

Donor C/CSP

has the same meaning as in the Code.

Eligible Party Identification (EPID)

means, for the purposes of LNP, an identification code allocated to a CSP by ACIF as per ACIF G600:2002 *Allocation of Eligible Party Identification Codes*, for the purpose of enacting LNP activity with another CSP.

NOTE: The Eligible Party Identification Code List is maintained on the ACIF website.

Expected Results

means results that should be produced by the system if operating according to the given specifications.

Expiry Notification

has the same meaning as in the Code.

Gaining C/CSP

has the same meaning as in the Code.

Give Back

has the same meaning as in the Code.

Give Back Notification

has the same meaning as in the Code.

Incident Report

means a report outlining any incidents raised or noted during testing.

Losing C/CSP

has the same meaning as in the Code.

National Public Holiday

has the same meaning as in the Code.

Network

means a Carrier's or C/CSP's system, or series of systems, that carries, or is capable of carrying communications by means of guided or unguided electromagnetic energy.

Network Provider

has the same meaning as in the Code.

Numbering Plan

means the *Telecommunications Numbering Plan 1997*.

Originating Access Service Deliverer

has the same meaning as in the Code.

Participant

means, for the purposes of LNP, those C/CSPs involved in sending or receiving LNP transactions to another C/CSP.

Port

has the same meaning as in the Code.

Ported Local Number Registers

has the same meaning as in the Code.

Regression Test

means the test to confirm existing functionality in a new release.

Standard Telephone Service

has the same meaning as in the Code.

Standard Time

has the same meaning as in the Code.

Test Case

means a collection of test conditions with specified input data values, expected and actual results.

Test Condition

means a business / Technical / Control requirement that is to be tested.

Test Cycle

means a logical grouping of Test Conditions for administrative and monitoring purposes.

Test Data

means Data to support test cases.

Test Documentation

includes test cases, test data, test schedule and expected results.

Test Execution Schedule

means the Schedule of testing activities.

Test Strategy

means the method of testing given software; testing plan of action.

Test Verification

means the process of confirming from expected results and actual results that the system is working according to specifications.

4 REFERENCES

Publication	Title
Industry Codes	
ACIF C569:2001	Unconditioned Local Loop Service - Ordering, Provisioning and Customer Transfer
ACIF C540:2003	Local Number Portability
Industry Guidelines	
ACIF G602:2003	Local Number Portability IT Specifications and Operations Manual Parts 1 to 5
ACIF G603:2004	Local Number Portability IT Test Strategy
Industry Documents	
<i>Telecommunications Act 1997</i>	
<i>Telecommunications Numbering Plan 1997</i>	

5 TEST OBJECTIVES

5.1 IT Link Testing

The objective of IT Link Testing is to ensure the connectivity of the two Participants, up to and including access links and firewalls.

5.2 Application Layer Testing

5.2.1 Non - Functional Application Layer Testing

The objective of Non-Functional Application Layer Testing is to ensure:

- (a) security aspects for file transfer are supported as agreed; and
- (b) file transfer mechanisms are in place and provide the required functionality.

5.2.2 Functional Testing

The objective of Functional Application Layer Testing is to ensure that:

- (a) Participant's systems process according to the ACIF C540:2003 *Local Number Portability* Industry Code and ACIF G602:2003 *Local Number Portability IT Specification and Operations Manual, Parts 1 to 5* Industry Guideline;
- (b) files and transactions are passed correctly between Participants;
- (c) format and content is correct;
- (d) transactions are sent in the correct order;
- (e) transactions are sent in the correct timeframe;
- (f) transactions are correctly accepted;
- (g) functions can handle exception conditions, ie the relevant validation and verification is in place so all errors are detected and reported via the appropriate Response Codes as per ACIF G602:2003 *Local Number Portability IT Specification and Operations Manual, Parts 1 to 5* Industry Guideline; and
- (h) the PLNR is updated correctly.

The testing of each function will only verify basic error processing and successful execution.

6 TEST SCOPE

The test scope is detailed in the ACIF G603:2004 *Local Number Portability IT Test Strategy* Industry Guideline.

7 DELIVERABLES

7.1 Test Scenarios and Test Cases

The test scenarios and test cases for each testing phase are detailed in Appendix E.

NOTE: The number of test cases to be executed will depend on the two Participants on a bilateral basis.

7.2 Test Execution Schedule

7.2.1 IT Link Testing - refer Appendix E.

7.2.2 Application Layer Testing – refer Appendix E.

7.3 Test Results

7.3.1 Each Participant is to record the results from each test case.

7.3.2 These results are to be consolidated in the daily Test Status Report distributed to the Test Co-ordinators.

7.3.3 Each Participant will record results in sufficient detail to support Incident Reporting and issues management.

7.4 Test Status Report

7.4.1 Each day, the Test Manager for each Participant is to produce a Test Status Report outlining the progress of the testing against the Test Execution Schedule, and any Incident Reports raised or closed.

7.4.2 This report is to be forwarded to the Participant's Test Co-ordinator at the conclusion of each day's testing.

7.4.3 A template for the Test Status Report is attached at Appendix B.

7.5 Test Summary Report

7.5.1 Weekly and at the conclusion of the testing, the Test Co-ordinator will produce a Test Summary Report.

7.5.2 A sample content of the Test Summary Report is attached at Appendix F.

8 TEST STRATEGY

8.1 Overall Approach

8.1.1 ACIF G603:2004 *Local Number Portability IT Test Strategy* Industry Guideline contains a detailed outline of the LNP Test Strategy. In summary, LNP IT Testing will be conducted in two phases:

- (a) IT Link Testing
- (b) Application Layer Testing

8.1.2 Successful completion of IT Link Testing is a pre-requisite to commencement of Application Layer Testing.

8.2 Test Focus

The focus of all LNP tests is to ensure that Participants are compliant with the applicable ACIF LNP Specifications. Detailed transaction specifications are outlined in ACIF G602:2003 *Local Number Portability IT Specification and Operations Manual, Parts 1 to 5* Industry Guideline.

8.3 Regression Strategy

8.3.1 In case of any incident found, alterations of the Test Execution Schedule may occur to allow time for program modifications. Any changes to the Test Execution Schedule must be agreed between Participants. However, any unsuccessful test cases should be executed again.

8.3.2 Depending upon the severity of the error detected, the options are:

- (a) to re-execute a Test Cycle; or
- (b) to re-execute specific Test Cases within a Test Cycle.

8.3.3 Regression testing may be undertaken where appropriate. The test focus will be either to ensure there is no regression of existing results, or to ensure the fix is successful and that the Test Case can be passed. The extent of the regression testing will be agreed between Test Managers.

8.4 Entry Criteria

8.4.1 IT Link

The entry criteria to commence IT Link Testing are:

- (a) that the IT link has been successfully established between the two Participants; and
- (b) Bilateral Agreements for testing are in place.

8.4.2 Application Layer

The entry criteria to commence Application Layer Testing is:

- (a) meet exit criteria from IT Link Testing; and
- (b) Participants confirm they have completed building and internal testing of their LNP application.

8.5 Exit Criteria

The exit criteria from each phase of testing are:

- (a) All test scenarios must have been executed, results evaluated and approved by each Participant as detailed in the applicable appendices in this document;
- (b) There must be no Severity 1 or Severity 2 Incident Reports outstanding (see Clause 11.10.1);
- (c) Outstanding Severity 3 Incident Reports must be reviewed and evaluated by the Test Co-ordinators;
- (d) The systems meet the requirements in ACIF G602:2003 *Local Number Portability IT Specification and Operations Manual, Parts 1 to 5* Industry Guideline.
- (e) The Test Summary Report has been agreed to by each Participant

9 TEST ENVIRONMENT

Each Participant is responsible for providing a test environment to conduct LNP IT Testing. These test environments should replicate the production environment as closely as possible. Each Participant will test file creation, dates, naming conventions, validation of header/trailer and sequential numbering.

10 APPLICATION LAYER TESTING

Application Layer Testing will encompass scenarios for Ports and details for testing the processes for each Category are as follows:

Cat. A Process – see ACIF G613.2:2004 *Local Number Portability IT Test Plan Part 2 - Category A* Industry Guideline

Cat. B Process – see ACIF G613.3:2004 *Local Number Portability IT Test Plan Part 3 - Category B* Industry Guideline

Cat. C Process – see ACIF G613.4:2004 *Local Number Portability IT Test Plan Part 4 - Category C* Industry Guideline

Cat. D Process – see ACIF G613.5:2004 *Local Number Portability IT Test Plan Part 5 - Category D* Industry Guideline

Application Layer Testing also encompasses scenarios such as Giveback and Ported Local Number Registers.

11 TEST MANAGEMENT

11.1 Roles and Responsibilities

The Test Co-ordinators will maintain a list of all contact points during LNP IT Testing as per the template at Appendix L of this Plan. Refer to the ACIF G603:2004 *Local Number Portability IT Test Strategy* Industry Guideline for details on the roles and responsibilities.

11.2 Task Management

All Test Cases are to be performed in accordance with the defined Test Execution Schedule.

11.3 Test Preparation

11.3.1 Each Participant is responsible for being ready to commence LNP IT Testing on the date agreed to in Bilateral Agreements. This includes being fully prepared to execute each test case. Each Participant is responsible for providing an appropriate environment, test data and supporting resources.

11.3.2 Each Participant will ensure the entry criteria have been met. In the event that a Participant is unable to commence LNP IT Testing on the agreed date, the Test Co-ordinator for that Participant must contact the other Participant's Test Co-ordinator to resolve the situation.

11.4 Test Cases

11.4.1 Test Cases and expected results are documented in Section 3 of Parts 2, 3, 4 and 5.

11.4.2 Not all Response Codes defined in the ACIF G602:2003 *Local Number Portability IT Specification and Operations Manual, Parts 1 to 5* Industry Guideline are to be tested. This is due to manual intervention, system manipulation or internal testing being required. It is expected that all Response Codes will be tested internally by each Participant. Section 5 of Parts 2, 3, 4 and 5 list Response Codes that may be tested between Participants.

11.5 Test Data

Test Data needs to be agreed between Participants. Each Participant will provide the agreed test data to the other Participant.

11.6 Test Data Value Sets

11.6.1 In general, each test scenario needs to be executed twice between Participants, once in each role in the scenario.

11.6.1.1 In 2 party Porting Donor as Losing C/CSP, for each test scenario, each Participant needs to act as both Gaining and Losing C/CSP.

11.6.1.2 In 2 party Porting Donor as Gaining C/CSP, for each test scenario, each Participant needs to act as both Gaining and Losing C/CSP.

11.6.1.3 In 3 party Porting, for each test scenario, each Participant needs to act as both Donor and Gaining C/CSP. The Losing C/CSP transactions have already been tested in Donor as Gaining, and the Gaining C/CSP will dummy out these transactions for testing purposes. Only the

Transfer transactions and PLNR updates will be included in Third Party testing.

- 11.6.2 For Donor as Gaining and Third Party the test cases assume the test environments are seeded as if the Donor as Losing scenario had already been completed.
- 11.6.3 For PLNR updates the Donor will update the register and the other Participant will read the register to confirm correct updating
- 11.6.4 For TSNA scenarios it is assumed that the expiry occurs 90 days from the receipt of the TSNA.

11.7 Test Execution Schedule

- 11.7.1 IT Link - refer Appendix E.
- 11.7.2 Application Layer – refer Appendix E.

11.8 Test Execution

The Test Manager from each Participant is responsible for ensuring that all Test Cases are performed in accordance with the Test Execution Schedule. The process to be followed is outlined below:

Process	Method
Test Data preparation	Internal
Test Cycle execution	Internal
Test results gathering and analysis	Internal
Status reporting	Template and daily conference call (see Appendix B)
Incident Report tracking	Template (see Appendix C)

11.9 Test Results

- 11.9.1 Results Verification and Documentation
 - 11.9.1.1 The Test Results, either pass or fail, are to be recorded. These results will be consolidated to produce the Test Status Report.
 - 11.9.1.2 The actual results will be compared to the expected results for any differences. If the actual results are different from expected results then it is the responsibility of the Test Analyst to investigate.
 - 11.9.1.3 Any differences will be raised as an Incident Report and the procedure as per section 11.10.1 of this document will be followed.
- 11.9.2 Pass/Fail Criteria
 - 11.9.2.1 Test Cases pass when the actual results match the expected results. Test Cases may also pass when any variance between actual results and expected results can be satisfactorily explained.
 - 11.9.2.2 Test Cases fail when unexplained variances occur between the actual results and the expected results.

11.10 Problem Management

11.10.1 Incident Reporting (IR) Process

The following processes will be used for all Incident Reporting and management:

1. Each Participant will record all errors into an Incident Report so that incidents can be tracked. A sample Incident Report is contained at Appendix A.
2. All Incident Reports must be raised within the agreed period of time following the test execution.
3. Incident Reports raised outside the agreed period of time following execution of the test will be reviewed on an exception basis.
4. A unique reference number must be assigned to each Incident Report, e.g IR-TEL-0001 where it is the first IR that was raised by Telstra or IR-ORA-0005 where it is the fifth IR that was raised by Orange.
5. When a Participant identifies an incident during LNP IT Testing, the Test Manager for that Participant will determine the severity of the incident. For a Severity 1 or 2 incident, the Test Manager for that Participant will immediately advise the other Participant's Test Manager by phone and follow up with an e-mail providing the details of the incident. .
6. The severity classification of each incident will be reviewed at the daily conference call or as agreed in Bilateral Agreements.
7. Each Test Manager reports to the Test Co-ordinator on a daily basis on all open and closed Incident Reports in the Test Status Report.

11.10.2 Severity Levels

The following severity levels will apply to incidents during LNP IT Testing:

Severity 1: Major failure causes testing to stop, pending problem resolution.

Severity 2: Error with no acceptable circumvention. Limited testing continues.

Severity 3: Error with acceptable circumvention. Test execution continues.

11.10.3 Incident Resolution Timeframes

Incident turn around times are critical due to the testing time frame and the nature of the transactions being tested. If a receiving Participant has not received the expected file and associated transactions as per the planned test schedule then they must contact the sending Participant to initiate resolution. Failure to meet planned test schedules should be raised at the daily conference call or as an Incident Report.

If an incident prevents the transactions being sent then the fault must be rectified and the transactions sent prior to the next processing period.

The target resolution times are outlined below:

Severity	Response	Target Resolution (elapsed time)
1	1 hour	2 hours
2	1 hour	24 hours
3	1 hour	48 hours

The above timeframes are the target resolution timeframes and every effort should be made to meet these. However, it is recognised that this may not be achievable in all cases.

11.11 Escalation Hierarchy

The escalation path is:

Escalation Path	Action
Test Analyst	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identifies need for escalation Severity 1 & 2 incidents to be reported to their Test Manager.
Test Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identifies need for escalation Severity 1 & 2 incidents to be reported to the other Participant's Test Manager and Test Co-ordinator. All other escalations are raised at the daily conference call.
Test Co-ordinator/s	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Escalate any unresolved severity 1 and 2 incidents to the Escalation Contact. Discuss Severity 1 & 2 incidents that are unresolved within the required timeframes with the other Participant's Test Co-ordinator. Raises any issues that cannot be resolved or have a critical impact on LNP IT Testing to the Escalation Contact
Escalation Contact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Liaise with their Test Co-ordinator and other Participant's Escalation Contacts to resolve LNP IT Testing issues.

11.12 Reporting Requirements

11.12.1 Test Meetings

All testing will be performed in accordance with the Test Schedule as outlined in Section 4 of Parts 2, 3, 4 and 5. At the conclusion of each test day the Test Managers for each Participant will attend a conference call to outline the overall testing results for the tests performed.

11.12.2 Test Status Report

At the conclusion of each test day the Test Managers from each Participant will prepare a daily Test Status Report and make this available for the conference call to discuss the test results.

Each Test Co-ordinator will produce a consolidated daily Test Status Report. This report will be distributed to the other Participant's Test Co-ordinator.

11.12.3 Test Summary Report

Each Test Co-ordinator will produce a Weekly Test Summary Report. This report will be distributed to the other Participant's Test Co-ordinator.

SECTION 11

Each Test Co-ordinator will also produce a final Test Summary Report at the conclusion of testing and provide it to the other Participant's Test Co-ordinator.

12 ACCEPTANCE

A Participant in LNP IT Testing will be considered to have successfully completed LNP IT Testing when they have met the exit criteria for each phase of testing as detailed in this document or as otherwise agreed in Bilateral Agreements.

**APPENDIX A
INCIDENT REPORT TEMPLATE**

To		Fax No	
Participant		Email	
From		Phone	
Participant		Email	

ID		Title		Severity	
Reported By		Date/Time	_ / _ / _ : _		
Test Case ID		Reproducible	Yes / No / Not Tried		
Description of Incident					
Solution / Retest Comments					
Action Log					
Date	Actions	Resp	Target Date		
Retested By		Date/Time	_ / _ / _ : _		
Sign Off		Date/Time	_ / _ / _ : _		
Status	OPEN	Date/Time	_ / _ / _ : _		
	UNDER INVESTIGATION	Date/Time	_ / _ / _ : _		
	FIXING	Date/Time	_ / _ / _ : _		
	RETEST	Date/Time	_ / _ / _ : _		
	CLOSED	Date/Time	_ / _ / _ : _		

**APPENDIX B
TEST STATUS REPORT TEMPLATE**

Test Status Report				
Prepared By		Date		
Participant				
Test Period				
Progress Against Plan				
Date	Planned No. of Tests	Actual No. of Tests Completed	Comment	
Incidents Raised (Sorted by Severity, then IR Number)				
Severity	IR #	IR Title	Status	Comment
Incidents Closed (Sorted by Severity, then IR Number)				
Severity	IR #	IR Title	Status	Comment
Incidents Outstanding (Sorted by Severity, then IR Number)				
Severity	IR #	IR Title	Status	Comment
Issues				
No.	Description			
Action Items (Test Team)				
No.	Description	Actioned By (Date)		

**INDUSTRY
GUIDELINE**

**APPENDIX D
RESPONSE CODES FOR LNP APPLICATION LAYER TESTING**

One per Category (A, B, C & D)

Not all Response Codes will be tested as part of Application Layer Testing, however the following points should be noted:

- All Response Codes require internal testing.
- Some Response Codes are for internal testing only as they require manual intervention to automated processes.
- If a Participant cannot generate a transaction to elicit the appropriate Response Code, a variation to the execution schedule can be agreed on a case by case basis.

The following table is provided to assist Participants to identify those Response Codes they wish to test.

Response Codes for Cat. A Testing							
Transaction	Response Code	Reject Reason	Probability (0 -10)	Impact (0 -10)	Ranking (1 - 100)	Comment	Test Y/N
	001	Service Number Not Found					
	002	Service Number is on Diversion					
	003	Inactive Service					
	004	Disconnected Service					
	005	Complex Service – eg ISDN, Line Hunt etc.					
	006	ULLS Call Diversion does not exist on requested Telephone Number					
	007	ULLS Number/Telephone Number mismatch					

INDUSTRY GUIDELINE

Response Codes for Cat. A Testing							
Transaction	Response Code	Reject Reason	Probability (0 -10)	Impact (0 -10)	Ranking (1 - 100)	Comment	Test Y/N
	008	Outstanding Porting request					
	009	Porting Already Completed					
	010	Telephone Number Ported to Another ASD					
	011	ASD Owned Telephone Number					
	012	Not Used					
	013	Not Used					
	014	Not Used					
	015	Incompatible Exchange Technology					
	016	Not Used					
	017	Telephone Number / Account Mismatch					
	018	All mandatory fields are not populated					
	019	Not Used					
	020	Transaction Level Rejections					
	021	Not Used					
	022	Not Used					
	023	Not Used					

Response Codes for Cat. A Testing							
Transaction	Response Code	Reject Reason	Probability (0 -10)	Impact (0 -10)	Ranking (1 - 100)	Comment	Test Y/N
	024	Not Used					
	025	Not Used					
	026	Not Used					
	027	Not Used					
	028	Not Used					
	029	Not Used					
	030	Not Used					
	031	Not Used					
	032	Insufficient notification time frame					
	033	Not Used					
	034	Cutover outside agreed hours					
	035	No Porting Request is currently outstanding					
	036	Invalid Cutover Timeslot					
	037	Retarget Limit exceeded					
	038	Giveback Invalid					
	039	Out of Area Telephone Number					
	040	Duplicate Telephone Number in					

INDUSTRY GUIDELINE

Response Codes for Cat. A Testing							
Transaction	Response Code	Reject Reason	Probability (0 -10)	Impact (0 -10)	Ranking (1 - 100)	Comment	Test Y/N
		Batch					
	041	Multiple Sites					
	042	Not Used					
	043	Not Used					
	044	Not Used					
	045	Not Used					
	046	Not Used					
	047	Not Used					
	048	Not Used					
	049	Not Used					
	050	Not Used					
	051	Telephone Number currently being Ported to another C/CSP					
	052	Service is currently connected to the ASD's network					
	053	Cutover Date is within the Lead Time					
	054	Cutover Date is outside Expiry Date					
	055	Invalid Status - CNA Retarget or Withdrawal requested after CCA					

Response Codes for Cat. A Testing							
Transaction	Response Code	Reject Reason	Probability (0 -10)	Impact (0 -10)	Ranking (1 - 100)	Comment	Test Y/N
		was Confirmed					
	056	Invalid Withdrawal Request					
	057	Invalid Retarget Request					
	058	Not Used					
	059	Not Used					
	060	Incomplete Number Block or missing Telephone Numbers in Batch					
	061	Batch Reference Number Missing					
	062	Giveback Date Invalid					
	063	Incorrect Category					
	064	Secondary Reject					
	065	Telephone Numbers are not contiguous for a product					
	066	Not Used					
	067	Invalid CA date					
	068	Not Used					
	069	Donor Reject					
	070	Not Used					

INDUSTRY GUIDELINE

Response Codes for Cat. A Testing							
Transaction	Response Code	Reject Reason	Probability (0 -10)	Impact (0 -10)	Ranking (1 - 100)	Comment	Test Y/N
	071	Not Used					
	072	Not Used					
	073	Excluded product					
	074	Not Used					
	075	Not Used					
	076	Not Used					
	077	Batch Number NOT unique					

APPENDIX E

TEST SCENARIOS

IT Link

Each Participant will test that they can establish network connections with the other Participant. The test will be performed by each Participant successfully sending and receiving test files between the two Participants.

Application Layer

Application Layer Testing will encompass scenarios such as Ports and Givebacks and details for each Category are provided as follows:

Cat. A Process – see ACIF G613.2:2004 *Local Number Portability IT Test Plan Part 2 – Category A* Industry Guideline

Cat. B Process – see ACIF G613.3:2004 *Local Number Portability IT Test Plan Part 3 – Category B* Industry Guideline

Cat. C Process – see ACIF G613.4:2004 *Local Number Portability IT Test Plan Part 4 – Category C* Industry Guideline

Cat. D Process – see ACIF G613.5:2004 *Local Number Portability IT Test Plan Part 5 – Category D* Industry Guideline

APPENDIX F
SAMPLE TEST SUMMARY REPORT CONTENTS

1. Introduction
 - 1.1 Purpose
 - 1.2 Scope
 - 1.3 References
2. Executive summary
3. Reporting test results
 - 3.1 Outside scope of testing
 - 3.2 Test scope
 - 3.3 Test results
4. Issues outstanding
5. Conclusions and recommendations
 - 5.1 Specific conclusion
 - 5.2 Specific recommendation
 - 5.3 General recommendation

ACIF is an industry owned, resourced and operated company established to implement and manage communications self-regulation within Australia. ACIF's role is to develop and administer technical and operating arrangements to foster a thriving, effective communications industry serving the Australian community through

- the timely delivery of Standards, Codes and other documents to support competition and protect consumers;
- widespread compliance; and
- the provision of facilitation, coordination and implementation services to enable the cooperative resolution of strategic and operational industry issues.

ACIF comprises a Board, an Advisory Assembly, standing Reference Panels, task specific Working Committees, Industry Facilitation/Coordination Groups, Consumer Advisory Bodies and a small Executive. Its members include carriers, carriage/content service providers, business and residential consumer groups, industry associations and individual companies.

The ACIF Standards and Codes development process involves the ACIF Board, Reference Panels, Working Committees and the ACIF Executive. The roles and responsibilities of all these parties and the applicable operating procedures are specified in the ACIF Operating Manual.

These procedures are based upon ACIF's openness, consensus, representation and consultation imperatives and have been designed to ensure that all sectors of Australian society are reasonably able to influence the development of Standards and Codes. Reference Panels and Working Committees must be representative of parties interested in the subject matter of the body of work being undertaken. All draft Codes/Standards are also released for public comment prior to publication to ensure outputs reflect the needs and concerns of all stakeholders.

Care should be taken to ensure that material used is from the current version of the Standard or Industry Code and that it is updated whenever the Standard or Code is amended or revised. The number and date of the Standard or Code should therefore be clearly identified. If in doubt please contact ACIF.



Published by:

**THE AUSTRALIAN COMMUNICATIONS
INDUSTRY FORUM LTD**

Level 9, 32 Walker Street
North Sydney NSW 2060

Correspondence: PO Box 444
Milsons Point NSW 1565

Telephone: (02) 9959 9111
Facsimile: (02) 9954 6136
TTY: (02) 9923 1911

E-mail: acif@acif.org.au

Web Site: <http://www.acif.org.au/>